

ISOMORPHISM RIGIDITY OF COMMUTING AUTOMORPHISMS

SIDDHARTHA BHATTACHARYA

ABSTRACT. Let $d > 1$, and let (X, α) and (Y, β) be two zero-entropy $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions on compact abelian groups by d commuting automorphisms. We show that if all lower rank subactions of α and β have completely positive entropy, then any measurable equivariant map from X to Y is an affine map. In particular, two such actions are measurably conjugate if and only if they are algebraically conjugate.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that ergodic automorphisms of compact abelian groups are measurably isomorphic with Bernoulli shifts (cf. e.g. [1]). In particular, entropy is the only measurable conjugacy invariant for such automorphisms. On the other hand, for $d > 1$, mixing zero-entropy $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions on compact abelian groups by d commuting automorphisms tend to exhibit remarkable rigidity properties. In this paper we study rigidity of measurable structure of these actions.

Before stating our main result, we recall a few basic definitions. Throughout this paper the term *compact abelian group* will denote an infinite compact metrizable abelian group. An *algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action* (X, α) is an action α of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ on a compact abelian group X by continuous automorphisms. Any such action preserves λ_X , the normalized Haar measure on X . A *lower rank subaction* of α is the restriction of α to a subgroup $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\text{rank}(\Lambda) < d$. If (X, α) and (Y, β) are algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions then a Borel map $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *equivariant* if $\phi \circ \alpha(\mathbf{n}) = \beta(\mathbf{n}) \circ \phi$ λ_X -a.e., for every $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. The actions α and β are *measurably* or *algebraically conjugate* if the map ϕ can be chosen to be a Borel isomorphism or a continuous group isomorphism. A map $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ is *affine* if there exist a continuous group homomorphism $\psi': X \rightarrow Y$ and an element $y \in Y$ such that $\psi(x) = \psi'(x) + y$ almost everywhere with respect to λ_X .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37A35, 37A15.

Key words and phrases. Rigidity, commuting automorphisms, entropy.

In this paper we prove the following theorem :

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d > 1$, and let (X, α) and (Y, β) be zero-entropy algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions such that all lower rank subactions of α and β have completely positive entropy. Then every measurable equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an affine map. In particular, two such actions are measurably conjugate if and only if they are algebraically conjugate.*

Several results in this direction were obtained in recent years. The case when both X and Y are zero-dimensional was studied in [4], [9] and [14]. For a certain class of actions on connected groups with the property that entropy of every individual element in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is finite, in [10] and [13] the above result was proved as a consequence of more general results on invariant measures. In [11], Theorem 1.1 was proved for a class of $\mathbb{Z}^3$ -actions on connected groups, where every individual element has infinite entropy.

The more general situation where α and β are arbitrary mixing zero-entropy actions, was studied in [2], [3] and [5]. Although these actions also exhibit several rigidity properties, there are mixing zero-entropy algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions on zero-dimensional groups for which the analogue of Theorem 1.1 fails (cf. [2, 4]). It is not known whether one can construct similar examples when both X and Y are connected.

2. BACKGROUND

Let $R_d = \mathbb{Z}[u_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, u_d^{\pm 1}]$ be the ring of Laurent polynomials with integral coefficients in the commuting variables $u_1, \dots, u_d$. We write $f \in R_d$ as

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f_{\mathbf{n}} u^{\mathbf{n}}$$

with $u^{\mathbf{n}} = u_1^{n_1} \cdots u_d^{n_d}$ and $f_{\mathbf{n}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $f_{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ for all but finitely many $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

If α is an algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action on a compact abelian group X , then the additively-written dual group $M = \widehat{X}$ is a module over the ring R_d with respect to the operation

$$f \cdot a = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f_{\mathbf{n}} \widehat{\alpha}(\mathbf{n})(a)$$

for $f \in R_d$ and $a \in M$, where $\widehat{\alpha}(\mathbf{n})$ denotes the automorphism of $\widehat{X}$ dual to $\alpha(\mathbf{n})$. The module $M = \widehat{X}$ is called the *dual module* of α .

Conversely, if M is a module over R_d , then we obtain an algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action α_M on $X_M = \widehat{M}$ by setting $\widehat{\alpha}_M(\mathbf{n})(a) = u^{\mathbf{n}} \cdot a$ for every $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $a \in M$. Clearly, M is the dual module of α_M .

If (X, α) is an algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action and $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ is a subgroup, then α^Λ will denote the restriction of α to Λ . For a R_d -module M , by $F(M)$ we denote the submodule consisting of all $m \in M$ such that $R_d \cdot m$ is finitely generated as an additive group. If $N \subset M$ is a submodule then $N^\perp \subset X_M$ will denote the subgroup consisting of all x such that $\chi(x) = 1$ for all $\chi \in N$. By duality theory, the correspondence $N \mapsto N^\perp$ is a order-reversing bijection from the set of all submodules of M to the set of all α_M -invariant closed subgroups of X_M .

For an algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action (X, α) , the topological entropy $h_{\text{top}}(\alpha)$ coincides with the metric entropy $h_{\lambda_X}(\alpha)$. If an algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action has completely positive entropy then it is Bernoulli (cf. [17]). Recall that a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R_d$ is *associated with* a R_d -module M if $\mathfrak{p} = \text{ann}(a) = \{f \in R_d : f \cdot a = 0_M\}$ for some $a \in M$. The set of prime ideals associated with M will be denoted by $\text{Asc}(M)$. We recall the following results from [15] and [19].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $d \geq 1$, and let M be a countable R_d -module. Then α_M is mixing if and only if $\{u^{\mathbf{n}} - 1 : \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\} \cap \mathfrak{p} = \{0\}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Asc}(M)$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let $d \geq 1$, and let M be a countable R_d -module such that the action α_M is mixing.*

- (1) α_M has zero entropy if and only if every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Asc}(M)$ is non-principal.
- (2) α_M has completely positive entropy if and only if every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Asc}(M)$ is principal.
- (3) If M is Noetherian then α_M has finite entropy if and only if every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Asc}(M)$ is non-zero.

The *Krull dimension* $\text{kdim}(R)$ of a ring R is the length l of the longest chain

$$\{0\} = \mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}_l$$

of distinct prime ideals in R (see [8, Chapter 8] for necessary background). The ring R_d has Krull dimension $d+1$. If $\mathbb{K}$ is a field then the *transcendence degree* $\text{tdeg}(\mathbb{K})$ of $\mathbb{K}$ is the maximum number of elements in $\mathbb{K}$ that are algebraically independent over the prime subfield of $\mathbb{K}$. If $\mathfrak{p} \subset R_d$ is a prime ideal, and $\mathbb{K}$ is the field of fractions of $R_d/\mathfrak{p}$, then $\text{kdim}(R_d/\mathfrak{p}) = \text{tdeg}(\mathbb{K}) + 1$ if $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$, and $\text{kdim}(R_d/\mathfrak{p}) = \text{tdeg}(\mathbb{K})$ if $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > 0$.

For a compact abelian group X , we denote the connected component containing identity by X^0 . A connected compact abelian group X is *finite-dimensional* if the dual group $\widehat{X}$ is isomorphic with a subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}^n$ for some $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let M be a Noetherian R_2 -module such that the action α_M is mixing and has zero entropy. Then X_M^0 is a finite-dimensional group.*

Proof. Since the restriction of α_M to X_M^0 is also mixing and has zero entropy (cf. [19, Theorem 3.6]), it is enough to consider the case when X_M is connected. Clearly, if there exists a R_2 -module $N \supset M$ such that X_N is finite-dimensional, then X_M is finite-dimensional. Similarly, if there exists a submodule $M_1 \subset M$ such that both X_{M_1} and X_{M/M_1} are finite-dimensional then X_M is finite-dimensional. As M is a Noetherian module, there exists a Noetherian R_2 -module $N \supset M$ and a finite sequence of submodules

$$\{0\} = N_0 \subset N_1 \subset \cdots \subset N_k = N,$$

such that for each $i \geq 1$, $N_{i+1}/N_i = R_2/\mathfrak{p}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Asc}(M)$ (cf. [19, Corollary 6.3]). Hence we may assume that $M = R_2/\mathfrak{p}$ for some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R_2$. Let $\mathbb{K}$ denote the field of fractions of $R_2/\mathfrak{p}$. As $\mathfrak{p}$ is non-principal and $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$, $\text{tdeg}(\mathbb{K}) = \text{kdim}(R_2/\mathfrak{p}) - 1 = 0$. Since $R_2/\mathfrak{p}$ is a finitely generated ring, this shows that as an additive group $\mathbb{K}$ is isomorphic with $\mathbb{Q}^n$ for some $n \geq 1$, which proves the given assertion. $\square$

Our next lemma is a special case of Theorem 1.1. It follows from known results on invariant measures of algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions, and a joining argument used in [13] and [14]. We sketch a proof for convenience of the reader.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $d > 1$, and let M and N be countable R_d -modules with the following properties :*

- (1) $F(M) = M$,
- (2) *The actions α_M and α_N have zero entropy, and all lower rank subactions of α_M and α_N have completely positive entropy.*

Then every measurable equivariant map f from (X_M, α_M) to (X_N, α_N) is an affine map.

Proof. We choose an arbitrary $m \in M$. Since $R_d \cdot m$ is finitely generated as an additive group and the action α_M is mixing, as an additive group $R_d \cdot m$ is isomorphic with $\mathbb{Z}^k$ for some $k \geq 0$. Hence

M is torsion free, and by duality X_M is connected. In particular, the action α_M is mixing of all orders (cf. [20]). We also observe that $X_{R_d \cdot m}$ is isomorphic with a torus and $(X_{R_d \cdot m}, \alpha_{R_d \cdot m})$ is a factor of (X_M, α_M) . As every lower rank subaction of α_M has completely positive entropy, we deduce that either X_M is trivial or $d = 2$.

Define $i : X_M \rightarrow X_M \times X_N$ and a measure μ on $X_M \times X_N$ by $i(x) = (x, f(x))$, $\mu = i_*(\lambda_{X_M})$. It is easy to see that f is an affine map if and only if μ is affine, i.e. μ is a translate of the Haar measure on a closed subgroup of $X_M \times X_N$. An elementary Harmonic analysis argument shows that μ is of this form if and only if $|\widehat{\mu}(\chi)| = 0$ or 1 for every $\chi \in M \times N$, where $\widehat{\mu}$ is the Fourier transform of μ .

We fix $\chi \in M \times N$ and set $P = R_d \cdot \chi$. Let π denote the projection map from $X_M \times X_N$ to X_M , π' denote the projection map from $X_M \times X_N$ to X_P , and μ_P denote the measure $\pi'_*(\mu)$. Since π is a measurable conjugacy from $(X_M \times X_N, \alpha_M \times \alpha_N, \mu)$ to $(X_M, \alpha_M, \lambda_{X_M})$ and π' is a measurable factor map from $(X_M \times X_N, \alpha_M \times \alpha_N, \mu)$ to (X_P, α_P, μ_P) , with respect to the measure μ_P the action α_P is mixing of all orders, and every lower rank subaction of α_P has completely positive entropy. As X_P/X_P^0 is zero-dimensional, from [18] we deduce that the image of μ_P on X_P/X_P^0 is concentrated at a point. Hence μ_P is a translate of some α_P -invariant measure ν on X_P^0 . Since we only need to consider the case when $d = 2$, and α_P has zero-entropy with respect to λ_{X_P} , by the previous proposition we may assume that X_P^0 is a finite-dimensional group. As all lower rank subactions of α_P has completely positive entropy with respect to the measure ν , from [10, Theorem 1.3] we deduce that ν is an affine measure. This implies that μ_P is an affine measure and $|\widehat{\mu}(\chi)| = |\widehat{\mu_P}(\chi)| \in \{0, 1\}$. $\square$

3. CONTINUITY AND FINITENESS OF ENTROPY

In this section we prove two lemmas which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. We begin with a few notations. Let (Y, d_Y) be a compact metric space, and let β be an action of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ on Y by homeomorphisms. We denote the set of all β -invariant probability measures on Y by $M_\beta(Y)$. With respect to the weak* topology $M_\beta(Y)$ is a compact convex set. For any $\mu \in M_\beta(Y)$, $h_\mu(\beta)$ will denote the entropy of the action β with respect to the measure μ . For $n \geq 1$, let $B_n \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ denote the rectangle $\{0, \dots, n\}^d$, and let d_n denote the metric on Y defined by

$$d_n(y_1, y_2) = \max_{\mathbf{m} \in B_n} d_Y(\beta(\mathbf{m})(y_1), \beta(\mathbf{m})(y_2)).$$

For a closed set $C \subset Y$ and $\epsilon > 0$, let $S_n(C, \epsilon)$ be the largest cardinality of an ϵ -separating set in C with respect to the metric d_n . We set

$$S(C, \epsilon) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log S_n(C, \epsilon), \quad h_{\text{top}}(\beta, C) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} S(C, \epsilon).$$

Note that $h_{\text{top}}(\beta, Y)$ is the topological entropy of the action β . For any $y \in Y$ and $t > 0$, let $A_t(y)$ denote the set of all $y' \in Y$ with the property that $d_n(y, y') \leq t$ for all $n \geq 1$. The action β is said to be *asymptotically h -expansive* if $\sup_{y \in Y} h_{\text{top}}(\beta, A_t(y)) \mapsto 0$ as $t \mapsto 0$.

We now recall a result from [16] which is a generalization of the well known fact that for an expansive $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action β , the map $\mu \mapsto h_\mu(\beta)$ is upper semicontinuous (see [16, Corollary 2.1 and Theorem 4.2]). Although in [16] the results are stated for $\mathbb{Z}$ -actions, the proofs can easily be extended to $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions for any $d \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Y be a compact metric space, and let β be an asymptotically h -expansive $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action on Y . Then the map $\mu \mapsto h_\mu(\beta)$ is upper semicontinuous.*

We note a simple consequence of the above result.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (Y, β) be an algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action with finite topological entropy. Then $\mu \mapsto h_\mu(\beta)$ is an upper semicontinuous map from $M_\beta(Y)$ to $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. In view of the previous lemma it is enough to show that the action (Y, β) is asymptotically h -expansive. Let d_Y be a translation invariant metric on Y . For $t > 0$ the closed set $Y_t = A_t(0)$ is invariant under β . Note that for any $y \in Y$ and $n \geq 1$, the map $x \mapsto xy$ is an isometry from (Y_t, d_n) to $(A_t(y), d_n)$. This implies that for any $y \in Y$ and $t > 0$, $h_{\text{top}}(\beta, A_t(y)) = h_{\text{top}}(\beta, Y_t)$. Suppose that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} h_{\text{top}}(\beta, Y_t) = c > 0$. Since $h_{\text{top}}(\beta)$ is finite, we can choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $S(Y, \epsilon) \geq h_{\text{top}}(\beta) - c/4$. We choose $0 < t, \delta < \epsilon/3$ such that $h_{\text{top}}(\beta, Y_t) > c/2$ and $S(Y_t, \delta) > c/3$. If Y_1 is a (n, ϵ) -separating set in Y and Y_2 is a (n, δ) -separating set in Y_t , then $Y_1 \cdot Y_2$ is a (n, δ) -separating set in Y . Hence

$$S_n(Y, \epsilon) \cdot S_n(Y_t, \delta) \leq S_n(Y, \delta),$$

which implies that $h_{\text{top}}(\beta) - c/4 + c/3 \leq h_{\text{top}}(\beta)$. This contradiction shows that the action β is asymptotically h -expansive. $\square$

We now prove a lemma on finiteness of entropy of rank $d - 1$ subactions. It is easy to construct examples of zero-entropy algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions with Noetherian dual module, which admit rank $d - 1$ subactions with infinite entropy. For example, if A is the shift automorphism on $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $B = \text{Id}$, then the $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action generated by A and B has zero entropy, but the cyclic action generated by A has infinite entropy. We show that this situation can not occur if the action is assumed to be mixing.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $d > 1$, and let M be a Noetherian R_d -module such that the action α_M is mixing and has zero entropy. Then for any subgroup $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\text{rank}(\Lambda) = d - 1$, the action α_M^Λ has finite entropy.*

Proof. Let C_d denote the class of Noetherian R_d -modules M with the property that α_M is a mixing action with zero-entropy, and all rank $d - 1$ subactions of α_M have finite entropy. Since any factor of α_M also has these properties, the class C_d is closed under taking submodules. If $M \subset N$ is a submodule such that M and N/M lie in C_d , then from entropy addition formula (cf. [19, Theorem 14.1]) it follows that $N \in C_d$. Now as in the proof of Proposition 2.3, we may assume that $M = R_d/\mathfrak{p}$ for some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R_d$.

Let $\Lambda_0 \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ denote the subgroup consisting of all $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$ with $n_d = 0$. We choose an injective endomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\phi(\Lambda_0) = \Lambda$, and define a $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action α^0 on X_M by setting $\alpha^0(\mathbf{n}) = \alpha(\phi(\mathbf{n}))$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Clearly, the action α^0 is mixing and has zero entropy. Replacing M by the dual module of the action α^0 if necessary, we may assume that $\Lambda = \Lambda_0$.

Let $R_{d-1} \subset R_d$ denote the subring $\mathbb{Z}[u^{\pm 1}, \dots, u^{\pm d-1}]$, and let R denote the image of R_{d-1} in $R_d/\mathfrak{p}$. Then as a R_{d-1} -module R is isomorphic with $R_{d-1}/R_{d-1} \cap \mathfrak{p}$. Let $\mathbb{F}$ denote the field of fractions of R , and let $\mathbb{K}$ denote the field of fractions of $R_d/\mathfrak{p}$.

We claim that the Λ -action α_R has finite entropy. By Lemma 2.2 it is enough to show that $R_{d-1} \cap \mathfrak{p} \neq \{0\}$. This is obvious if $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > 0$. If $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ then $\text{tdeg}(\mathbb{K}) = \text{kdim}(R_d/\mathfrak{p}) - 1 \leq d - 2$, as $\mathfrak{p}$ is a non-principal prime ideal. Since the transcendence degree of the field of fractions of R_{d-1} is $d - 1$, the map from R_{d-1} to $R_d/\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathbb{K}$ induced by the inclusion map $i : R_{d-1} \rightarrow R_d$ is not injective. This shows that $R_{d-1} \cap \mathfrak{p} \neq \{0\}$, which proves the claim. Note that for any finitely generated R_{d-1} -module $N \subset \mathbb{F}$ we can choose $r_0 \in R$ such that $r_0 \cdot N \subset R$. Hence N is isomorphic with a submodule of $\mathbb{F}$, i.e. α_N is an algebraic factor of $\alpha_{\mathbb{F}}$. In particular, $h_{\text{top}}(\alpha_N) \leq h_{\text{top}}(\alpha_R)$. We choose an increasing sequence of R_{d-1} -submodules $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{F}$

such that $\cup_j N_j = \mathbb{F}$. Since $N_j^\perp \mapsto 0_{X_{\mathbb{F}}}$ as $j \mapsto \infty$, from [19, Lemma 13.6] it follows that

$$h_{\text{top}}(\alpha_{\mathbb{F}}) = \lim_{j \mapsto \infty} h_{\text{top}}(\alpha_{N_j}) \leq h_{\text{top}}(\alpha_R) < \infty.$$

Now we consider the cases $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{F}] < \infty$ and $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{F}] = \infty$, separately. In the former case, the R_{d-1} -module $\mathbb{K}$ is a direct sum of finitely many copies of $\mathbb{F}$. Since $h_{\text{top}}(\alpha_{\mathbb{F}}) < \infty$ and $M = R_d/\mathfrak{p}$ is a R_{d-1} -submodule of $\mathbb{K}$, the action α_M^Λ has finite entropy. In the latter case the element u_d is not algebraic over $\mathbb{F}$. This can happen only if $\mathfrak{p}$ is contained in R_{d-1} . It is easy to see that $R_{d-1} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ is the only prime ideal associated to the R_{d-1} -module M . Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is a non-principal ideal, by Lemma 2.2 the action α_M^Λ has zero entropy. $\square$

4. RIGIDITY OF EQUIVARIANT MAPS

Recall that a valuation on a ring R is a map $v : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ satisfying the following conditions :

- (1) $v(1) = 0$ and $v(x) = \infty$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (2) $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$,
- (3) $v(x + y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset R_d$ be a prime ideal. For a valuation v on $R_d/\mathfrak{p}$ we define a homomorphism $\phi_v : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\phi_v(\mathbf{n}) = v(u^\mathbf{n})$. The valuation v is said to be *discrete* if the image of ϕ_v is a non-trivial discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$. It is easy to see that v is discrete if and only if the subgroup $\Lambda_v = \{\mathbf{n} : \phi_v(\mathbf{n}) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ has rank $d - 1$.

The following result characterizes all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \subset R_d$ with the property that $R_d/\mathfrak{p}$ admits a discrete valuation; for a proof see [6, Theorem 2.4] and [7, Corollary 1 and Theorem 8.1].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $d \geq 1$, and let $\mathfrak{p} \subset R_d$ be a prime ideal. Then $R_d/\mathfrak{p}$ admits a discrete valuation if and only if it is not finitely generated as an additive group.*

Definition. Let $d \geq 1$, and let M be a countable R_d -module. A closed subgroup $H \subset X_M$ is α_M -*shrinking* if there exists a $\mathbf{n}_H \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that

$$\bigcap_{j \geq 1} \alpha_M(j\mathbf{n}_H)(H) = \{0\},$$

and $\alpha_M(\mathbf{n})(H) = H$ whenever $\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}_H \rangle = 0$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

The smallest closed subgroup of X_M which contains all α_M -shrinking subgroups will be denoted by X_M^s . It is easy to see that if M, N are

R_d -modules and $\theta : X_M \rightarrow X_N$ is a continuous $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -equivariant homomorphism, then $\theta(X_M^s) \subset X_N^s$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $d \geq 1$, M be a countable R_d -module and $F(M)$ be as defined before. Then $X_M^s = F(M)^\perp$.*

Proof. First we consider the case when $F(M) = \{0\}$. If the above assertion is not true then $X_M^s = M_1^\perp$ for some non-zero submodule M_1 of M . In that case we choose $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Asc}(M_1)$ and $m_0 \in M_1$ with $\text{ann}(m_0) = \mathfrak{p}$. Since $F(M) = \{0\}$, $R_d/\mathfrak{p}$ is not finitely generated as an additive group. By the previous lemma there exists a discrete valuation v on $R_d/\mathfrak{p}$. We set

$$R = \{p \in R_d : v(p) \geq 0\}, \quad M_0 = \{p \cdot m_0 : v(p) \geq 1\}.$$

It is easy to see that R is a Noetherian subring and M_0 is a R -module.

For any $A \subset M$, let $\overline{A}$ denote the R_d -submodule generated by A . We define a partial order on the set of all R -submodules of M by setting $N \leq N'$ if $N \subset N'$ and $N' \cap \overline{N} = N$. It is easy to see that for any totally ordered subset C , the R -module $\cup\{N : N \in C\}$ is an upper bound for C . By Zorn's lemma there exists a maximal element N_0 in $\{N : N \geq M_0\}$. We claim that $\overline{N_0} = M$. Suppose this is not the case. Let m be element of $M - \overline{N_0}$. Since R is Noetherian, the R -module $R \cdot m \cap \overline{N_0}$ is finitely generated. We choose $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $v(\mathbf{n}) < 0$, and observe that

$$\overline{N_0} = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} u^{j\mathbf{n}} \cdot N_0.$$

Let B be a finite set which generates $R \cdot m \cap \overline{N_0}$ as a R -module. We find $k \geq 1$ such that $u^{-k\mathbf{n}} \cdot b \in N_0$ for all $b \in B$. If $m' = u^{-k\mathbf{n}} \cdot m$ then $(R \cdot m' + N_0) \cap \overline{N_0} = R \cdot m' \cap \overline{N_0} + N_0 = N_0$, which contradicts the maximality of N_0 and proves the claim.

Now from the above claim and duality theory we deduce that

$$\bigcap_{j \geq 1} \alpha(j\mathbf{n})(N_0^\perp) = \{0\},$$

i.e. $N_0^\perp$ is a α -shrinking subgroup of X_M . Hence $N_0 \supset M_1$. As $N_0 \cap \overline{M_0} = M_0$, we deduce that $m_0 \in M_0$ i.e. $p \cdot m_0 = m_0$ for some $p \in R_d$ with $v(p) \geq 1$. Since $0 = v(1) \geq \text{Min}\{v(p), v(1-p)\}$, we arrive at a contradiction. This completes the proof of the given assertion in the special case considered above.

Now we consider the general case. For $a \in F(M)$, let M_a denote the R_d -submodule generated by a , and let $\pi_a : X_M \rightarrow X_{M_a}$ denote the dual of the inclusion map $i : M_a \rightarrow M$. Since M_a is finitely generated as an

additive group, X_{M_a} is isomorphic with $\mathbb{T}^n \times F$, where $\mathbb{T}^n$ is a torus and F is a finite abelian group. Hence X_{M_a} does not admit arbitrarily small non-trivial subgroups. In particular, $X_{M_a}^s = \{0\}$. Since π_a is a continuous $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -equivariant homomorphism for every $a \in F(M)$, this shows that

$$X_M^s \subset \bigcap_{a \in F(M)} \text{Ker}(\pi_a) = F(M)^\perp.$$

Let $\theta : X_{M/F(M)} \rightarrow X_M$ denote the dual of the projection map from M to $M/F(M)$. Since $F(M/F(M)) = \{0\}$, $X_{M/F(M)}^s = X_{M/F(M)}$. As θ is a continuous $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -equivariant homomorphism, this implies that $F(M)^\perp = \theta(X_{M/F(M)}) = \theta(X_{M/F(M)}^s) \subset X_M^s$. $\square$

A measurable map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between compact abelian groups is a *constant* if there exists a $c \in Y$ such that $f(x) = c$ almost everywhere with respect to λ_X . For a measurable map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, by $C(f)$ we denote the set of all $h \in X$ with the property that the map $x \mapsto f(x+h) - f(x)$ is a constant. We note the following elementary fact.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a measurable map between compact abelian groups. Then $C(f)$ is a closed subgroup of X , and f is an affine map if and only if $C(f) = X$.*

Proof. From duality theory it follows that f is an affine map if and only if $\chi \circ f$ is an affine map for every $\chi \in \widehat{Y}$, and $C(f) = \bigcap_{\chi} C(\chi \circ f)$. Hence without loss of generality we may assume that $Y = \mathbb{T}$. It is easy to see that $C(f) \subset X$ is a subgroup, and $C(f) = X$ whenever f is an affine map. As the translation action of X on $L^2(X)$ is continuous, and the space of constant maps from X to $\mathbb{T}$ is a closed subset of $L^2(X)$, $C(f)$ is closed. Since all eigenvalues of the translation action are of the form $c \cdot \chi$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\chi \in \widehat{X}$, $C(f) = X$ only if f is an affine map. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let M and N be the dual modules of α and β , respectively. For any $a \in N$, let $Y_a \subset Y$ denote the dual of $R_d \cdot a$, and let β_a denote the $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action on Y_a induced by β . If π_a denotes the factor map from (Y, β) to (Y_a, β_a) , then it is easy to see that the map f is affine if and only if $\pi_a \circ f$ is affine for every $a \in N$. Since the dual module of each β_a is Noetherian, without loss of generality we may assume that N is a Noetherian R_d -module.

We define a map $q : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$q(x, x') = f(x + x') - f(x').$$

For $\mu \in M(X)$, let $\bar{\mu}$ denote the measure $q_*(\mu \times \lambda_X) \in M(Y)$. It is easy to see that q is a $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -equivariant map, and $\alpha(\mathbf{m})(\bar{\mu}) = \beta(\mathbf{m})(\bar{\mu})$ for all $\mu \in M(X)$ and $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We claim that $\bar{\mu} \mapsto \delta_{0_Y}$ as $\mu \mapsto \delta_{0_X}$. Let $\hat{\bar{\mu}}$ denote the Fourier transform of $\bar{\mu}$. We fix a non-zero character $\chi \in N$, and note that

$$\hat{\bar{\mu}}(\chi) = \int \chi \, d\bar{\mu} = \int \chi \circ q(x, x') \, d\mu(x) \, d\lambda_X(x').$$

We set $q_\chi = \chi \circ q$ and $f_\chi = \chi \circ f$. For $x \in X$, we denote the L^1 -norm of the function $x' \mapsto q_\chi(x, x')$ by $P(x)$. Since the translation action of X on $L^1(X)$ is strongly continuous, and

$$|q_\chi(x, x')| = |f_\chi(x + x') \cdot \overline{f_\chi(x')}| \leq |f_\chi(x + x') - f_\chi(x')|,$$

it follows that $P : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function with $P(0_X) = 0$. Since $|\hat{\bar{\mu}}(\chi)| \leq \int P(x) \, d\mu(x)$, $\hat{\bar{\mu}}(\chi) \mapsto 0$ as $\mu \mapsto \delta_{0_X}$. This proves the claim.

We fix a α -shrinking subgroup $H \subset X$ and define

$$\Lambda = \{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}_H \rangle = 0\}.$$

Since $\text{rank}(\Lambda) = d - 1$, by Lemma 3.3 the action β^Λ has finite entropy. For $j \geq 0$, let μ_j denote the Haar measure on $\alpha(j\mathbf{n}_H)(H)$. As $\mu_0 = \lambda_H$ is invariant under the action α^Λ , the measure $\bar{\mu}_0$ is invariant under β^Λ . Since $\mu_j \mapsto \delta_{0_X}$ as $j \mapsto \infty$, from the above claim we deduce that $\bar{\mu}_j \mapsto \delta_{0_Y}$ as $j \mapsto \infty$. We note that $\beta(\mathbf{n})$ commutes with the action β^Λ for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. This implies that the Λ -actions $(Y, \beta^\Lambda, \bar{\mu}_0)$ and $(Y, \beta^\Lambda, \bar{\mu}_j)$ are measurably conjugate for every $j \geq 1$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$h_{\bar{\mu}_0}(\beta^\Lambda) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} h_{\bar{\mu}_j}(\beta^\Lambda) = 0.$$

We set $X_1 = (X, \alpha^\Lambda, \lambda_H)$, $X_2 = (X, \alpha^\Lambda, \lambda_X)$ and $Y_1 = (Y, \beta^\Lambda, \bar{\mu}_0)$. Since $q : X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ is measure preserving and Y_1 has zero-entropy, the map q is measurable with respect to the Pinsker algebra of $X_1 \times X_2$. As Pinsker algebra of the product of two measure preserving $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions is the product of their Pinsker algebras (cf. [12, Theorem 4]), and since X_2 has completely positive entropy, there exists a measurable map $g : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $q(x, x') = g(x)$ almost everywhere with respect to λ_H . An application of Fubini's theorem shows that for λ_H -a.e. $h \in H$, the map $x' \mapsto q(h, x')$ is a constant. As our initial choice of H was arbitrary, from the previous proposition we deduce that $X_M^s \subset C(f)$. Hence the map $q^1 : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ defined by

$$q^1(x, x') = f(x + x') - f(x) - f(x'),$$

is invariant under the translation action of $X_M^s \times X_M^s$ on $X \times X$. Let π denote the projection map from $X \times X$ to $Z = X/X_M^s \times X/X_M^s$, and let $q^2 : Z \rightarrow Y$ denote the measurable map satisfying $q^1 = q^2 \circ \pi$. If α_Z denote the $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action on Z induced by α , then by Proposition 4.2 the dual module of α_Z is isomorphic with $F(M) \times F(M)$. Since q^2 is a $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -equivariant map, by Lemma 2.4 both q^2 and $q^2 \circ \pi = q^1$ are affine maps. As $q^1(x, x') = q^1(x', x)$, this implies that for any $t \in X$, $q^1(x+t, x') = q^1(x, x'+t)$ almost everywhere with respect to $\lambda_X \times \lambda_X$. Hence for any $t \in X$,

$$f(x+t) + f(x') = f(x+t+x') - q^1(x+t, x') = f(x) + f(x'+t),$$

from which we deduce that $X = C(f)$. By the previous proposition f is an affine map. $\square$

An algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -action α is *prime* if the dual module of α is of the form $R_d/\mathfrak{p}$ for some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R_d$. Prime actions can be viewed as building blocks of algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions (see [19, Figure 1]). From duality theory it follows that $X_{R_d/\mathfrak{p}}$ is connected if $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} = \{0\}$, and it is zero-dimensional if $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} \neq \{0\}$. In the zero-dimensional case in [4] and [9] it was shown that any measurable factor map between mixing zero-entropy prime actions is an affine map. As an application of Theorem 1.1 we now extend this result to all mixing zero-entropy prime actions.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $d > 1$, and let $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \subset R_d$ be prime ideals such that the actions $\alpha_{R_d/\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\alpha_{R_d/\mathfrak{q}}$ are mixing and have zero-entropy. Then any measurable factor map from $(X_{R_d/\mathfrak{p}}, \alpha_{R_d/\mathfrak{p}})$ to $(X_{R_d/\mathfrak{q}}, \alpha_{R_d/\mathfrak{q}})$ is an affine map. In particular, the actions $\alpha_{R_d/\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\alpha_{R_d/\mathfrak{q}}$ are measurably conjugate if and only if $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$.*

Proof. If $d_1 \leq d$ and $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^{d_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ is an injective homomorphism, we define an algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^{d_1}$ -action α^ϕ on $X_{R_d/\mathfrak{p}}$ by setting $\alpha^\phi(\mathbf{m}) = \alpha_{R_d/\mathfrak{p}}(\phi(\mathbf{m}))$ for all $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d_1}$. For any such ϕ , let $\phi_* : R_{d_1} \rightarrow R_d$ denote the induced ring homomorphism, and let $\mathfrak{p}_\phi \subset R_{d_1}$ denote the prime ideal $\phi_*^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$. If M_1 denotes the dual module of α^ϕ then it is easy to see that $\text{Asc}(M_1) = \{\mathfrak{p}_\phi\}$. By Lemma 2.2 the action α^ϕ has zero-entropy if $\mathfrak{p}_\phi$ is non-principal, and it has completely positive entropy if $\mathfrak{p}_\phi$ is principal. Let d_0 be the smallest integer such that for some injective homomorphism $\phi_0 : \mathbb{Z}^{d_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ the ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{\phi_0} \subset R_{d_0}$ is non-principal. We set $\Lambda = \phi_0(\mathbb{Z}^{d_0})$, and note that the action $\alpha_{R_d/\mathfrak{p}}^\Lambda$ has zero-entropy but all lower rank subactions of $\alpha_{R_d/\mathfrak{p}}^\Lambda$ have completely positive entropy. Since $(X_{R_d/\mathfrak{q}}, \alpha_{R_d/\mathfrak{q}})$ is a factor of $(X_{R_d/\mathfrak{p}}, \alpha_{R_d/\mathfrak{p}})$, the

same is true for the action $\alpha_{R_d/\mathfrak{q}}^\Lambda$. By Theorem 1.1, f is an affine map. Hence if f is a measurable conjugacy then the actions $\alpha_{R_d/\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\alpha_{R_d/\mathfrak{q}}$ are algebraically conjugate. By duality, this happens only if $R_d/\mathfrak{p}$ and $R_d/\mathfrak{q}$ are isomorphic R_d -modules, i.e., $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aoki, *A simple proof of the Bernoullicity of ergodic automorphisms on compact abelian groups*, Israel J. Math., vol. 38, 189–198, 1981.
- [2] S. Bhattacharya, *Zero entropy algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions that do not exhibit rigidity*, Duke Math. J., vol. 116, 471–476, 2003.
- [3] S. Bhattacharya, *Higher order mixing and rigidity of algebraic actions on compact abelian groups*, Israel J. Math., vol. 137, 211–221, 2003.
- [4] S. Bhattacharya and K. Schmidt, *Homoclinic points and isomorphism rigidity of algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions on zero dimensional compact abelian groups*, Israel J. Math., vol. 137, 189–209, 2003.
- [5] S. Bhattacharya and T. Ward, *Finite entropy characterizes topological rigidity*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems (to appear), <http://lanl.arxiv.org/abs/math.DS/0302039>.
- [6] R. Bieri and J.R.J Groves, *The geometry of the set of characters induced by valuations*, J. Reine Angew. Math., vol. 347, 168–195, 1984.
- [7] R. Bieri and R. Strebel, *Valuations and finitely presented metabelian groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), vol. 41, 439–464, 1980.
- [8] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [9] M. Einsiedler, *Isomorphism and measure rigidity for algebraic actions on zero-dimensional groups*, Preprint.
- [10] M. Einsiedler and E. Lindenstrauss, *Rigidity properties of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions on tori and solenoids*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 9, 99–110, 2003.
- [11] M. Einsiedler and T. Ward, *Isomorphism rigidity in entropy rank two*, Israel J. Math. (to appear), <http://lanl.arxiv.org/abs/math.DS/0309161>.
- [12] E. Glasner, J.-P. Thouvenot and B. Weiss, *Entropy theory without a past*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, vol. 20, 1355–1370, 2000.
- [13] A. Katok, S. Katok and K. Schmidt, *Rigidity of measurable structure for $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions by automorphisms of a torus*, Comment. Math. Helv., vol. 77, 718–745, 2002.
- [14] B. Kitchens and K. Schmidt, *Isomorphism rigidity of irreducible algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions*, Invent. Math., vol. 142, 559–577, 2000.
- [15] D. Lind, K. Schmidt and T. Ward, *Mahler measure and entropy for commuting automorphisms of compact groups*, Invent. Math., vol. 101, 593–629, 1990.
- [16] M. Misiurewicz, *Topological conditional entropy*, Studia Math., vol. 55, 175–200, 1976.
- [17] D. Rudolph and K. Schmidt, *Almost block independence and Bernoullicity of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions by automorphisms of compact abelian groups*, Invent. Math., vol. 120, 455–488, 1995.

- [18] K. Schmidt, *Invariant measures for certain expansive $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions*, Israel J. Math., vol. 90, 295–300, 1995.
- [19] K. Schmidt, *Dynamical systems of algebraic origin*, Progress in Mathematics, 128, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995.
- [20] K. Schmidt and T. Ward, *Mixing automorphisms of compact groups and a theorem of Schlickevei*, Invent. Math., vol. 111, 69–76, 1993.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH,
MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

E-mail address: `siddhart@math.tifr.res.in`